SAFE HOSPITALS: A REGIONAL INITIATIVE
ON DISASTER-RESILIENT HEALTH FACILITIES

Background and General Concept

1. The 45th Directing Council of the Pan American Health Organization approved Resolution CD45.R8 urging Member States to adopt “Hospitals Safe from Disasters” as a national risk reduction policy in 2004. This resolution established the goal for the Region that all new hospitals must be built with a level of protection that guarantees that they remain functional in disaster situations. It also calls on governments to ensure that the reinforcement and refurbishing of existing health facilities, particularly those providing primary and emergency care, includes the appropriate mitigation measures.

2. In January 2005, 168 countries adopted the same “Hospitals Safe from Disasters” goal at the World Conference on Disaster Reduction as one of the priority actions to be implemented by 2015.

3. The first step in implementing this new initiative in the Region is to identify, together with experts of Member States, a working definition of the term “safe hospital.” As a result, a “safe hospital” is defined as: "a health facility whose services remain accessible and functioning at its maximum capacity, and in the same infrastructure, during and immediately following the impact of a natural hazard."

Challenges

4. According to data provided by PAHO/WHO Member States, 67% of their health facilities are located in disaster risk areas. In the last decade, nearly 24 million people in

---

the Americas lost health care for months, and sometimes years, due to the damage directly related to disasters. On average, a hospital out of service in the Region leaves approximately 200,000 people without health care and the loss of emergency services during disasters sharply reduces the chance to save lives.

5. A report prepared by the UN Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean estimates that the Region lost more than US$ 3.12 billion in 15 years due to damage to health infrastructure. Indirect losses are estimated to be significantly higher when measuring the increases in health care costs for the millions that have been left without health services for a prolonged period of time.

6. Functional collapse is the main cause of hospitals being out of service after a disaster; only a small proportion of them are out of service due to structural damage. Although the measures necessary to prevent a functional collapse require a significantly smaller financial investment, they remain nonetheless a major challenge for the technical, managerial and political levels.

7. Natural disasters are not singularly responsible for the collapse of hospitals. The major reason for the collapse of health infrastructure and corresponding deaths is the fact that hospitals are constructed without taking into account natural hazards, and that systems progressively deteriorate due to lack of maintenance over time. The good news, though, is that this increasing trend of vulnerability of health facilities can be reversed, as demonstrated in several pilot cases, through sustained political support.

**More than Infrastructure**

8. The goal of safe hospitals is much more than protecting only infrastructure and equipment; it is to ensure that health services remain functional, as part of a network, and can protect patients’ safety. This also entails that workers be able to continue to perform their duties and that other essential functions remain operational, such as sanitation, water supply, disease control, and laboratories, laundry facilities and kitchens. The presence of effective health systems has been identified as the backbone for achieving the health-related Millennium Development Goals.

---


4 “Economic Impact of Natural Disasters in Health Infrastructure”, UN/ECLAC. LC/MEX/L.291. This report was presented at the International Conference on Vulnerability Reduction in Health Facilities. Mexico, DF, 1996.
9. Building codes for health facilities, therefore, should not only ensure the survival of staff and patients, but also be stringent enough to ensure that facilities continue to function after disasters.

10. Mechanisms should be put in place to ensure that at least hospital infrastructure and operations are checked through an independent process to inform health facility owners about the safety of the health facility.

11. Patient safety and the health of workers is the foremost concern. This has been too frequently overlooked, which explains why hospitals that could have reopened quickly have taken several weeks or months to recover properly.

12. Health workers are the main players and promoters for ensuring the continuous operation of health services in complex facilities but, more importantly, they are fundamental for primary health care. Together with teachers and other local leaders, health workers at the local or community levels should assist in identifying the main health risks and promote the implementation of affordable and cost-beneficial risk reduction measures. The “prevention is better than cure” approach must be adapted to natural hazards.

13. Healthcare workers face physical, chemical, biological, and psychosocial occupational hazards. The creation of a healthy hospital environment is directly dependent on the protection of the health and safety of health care workers. Occupational safety and the health of the health care sector must remain a priority in the Safe Hospitals initiative.

14. Health disaster programs within each ministry of health should be equipped with a risk reduction branch. Other key programs within the ministries of health, such as health services, health infrastructure, human resources and training centers, planning, water and sanitation, communicable diseases, laboratory, and chemical and radiological specialities, also have critical roles to play in improving safety. A failure in any of one the basic functions of the health facility can be responsible for the collapse of the entire system.

A Collective Responsibility

15. Access to health services is a critical need in saving lives, especially during emergencies, and is part of the essential public health functions. Hospitals are among the most complex infrastructures in our societies and are heavily dependent on basic services. Hospitals will be safe when other sectors also routinely recognize that health facilities save lives and consequently must remain functional following disasters.
16. The Safe Hospitals initiative is also an essential aspect of healthy communities that requires expertise from all health services and water supply, electricity, transportation, and communication systems, as well as the local community, in order for hospitals to remain functional.

17. Actors outside the health sector, such as planning, national and international financial institutions, universities, scientific and research centers, and local authorities, should also be fully involved in the process, as they are key contributors towards reducing risk.

Countries’ Efforts and Opportunities

18. Twenty-one countries in the Americas report undertaking specific actions to reduce disaster vulnerability in the health sector, and 11 countries report having a national policy on safe hospitals. The countries that show major advances towards safer hospitals are those in which the national multisectoral disaster coordination institution supports these efforts.5

19. Member States use a variety of strategies towards this aim, such as the development of national and international agreements, the adoption of appropriate and regular updates of norms and standards, and the monitoring of their national safe hospitals program.

20. The Safe Hospitals initiative contributes to reducing inequality, as it also facilitates access to health services for vulnerable populations and promotes the safety of the entire network of health services, including health centers, outpatient centers, and other medical care facilities. The hospital accreditation process should include risk reduction as a category, in order that it be addressed systematically. In the long run, there is need to establish an appropriate institutional policy that links accreditation with quality assurance and improvement programs.

21. One of the most important advances in 2006 was the development of a Hospital Safety Index, thanks to the contribution of the PAHO/WHO Disaster Mitigation Advisory Group (DiMAG) 6 and the contributions of a number of national experts. This tool takes into consideration multiple aspects, such as the geographic location and structure of the building, the nonstructural components, and the hospital organization. The calculated index provides an idea of the likelihood that a health facility will remain
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6 The Disaster Mitigation in Advisory Group is composed of volunteers from public and private institutions of Latin America and the Caribbean that are available through the PAHO/WHO collaborating center for hospital mitigation based in Chile.
functional after a disaster, and can be used as a qualitative scoring system to prioritize interventions on selected health facilities. It does not replace an indepth vulnerability assessment. Authorities can appreciate, at a glance, areas where it would be the most efficient to intervene in order to improve safety in health facilities. Safety is no longer a black-and-white situation; it can be improved gradually.

22. Mexico, and to a more limited degree, Costa Rica, Cuba, Dominica, Peru, and Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, have conducted pilot surveys to test the Hospital Safety Index. The present Hospital Safety Index will have to be updated regularly as technology and assessment methodology evolve.

World Disaster Reduction Campaign 2008-2009

23. The UN International Strategy for Disaster Reduction (ISDR) decided to organize for 2008-2009, the global Safe Hospitals campaign, as an example of a complex entity that requires collaboration from all sectors in order to make hospitals resilient to disasters. WHO is the technical entity responsible for the campaign.

24. The success of the campaign depends on, among other things, sufficient national information systems that allow countries to decide strategically on how to improve the safety of new designs and existing facilities.

25. Policymakers’ awareness of the social, economic, and political benefits of hospitals and health facilities that remain functional in a disaster is considered a critical factor towards making progress in this campaign and for the public to see real results. The campaign will provide an excellent chance to engage the public and decisionmakers from all sectors as stakeholders in the safety of their country’s hospitals.

Conclusion

26. Over the last year, significant progress has been made towards making hospitals safer, especially in addressing the technical issues. What is most needed now is to have a national risk reduction program in the ministry of health that includes the Safe Hospital initiative.

27. The complexity of reducing risk resides in that fact that multiple specialties and sectors are involved. The failure of any of the components will make the hospital unsafe. The goal can only be reached by 2015 if there is direct involvement of key health departments, such as health services organizations, networks and systems; patients’ and health workers’ safety; laboratories; medications; and supplies and sanitation. In addition, the effort must involve entities beyond the health sector, such as financial institutions, water and power supply companies, telecommunications, and foreign affairs.
28. The global campaign proposed by ISDR and WHO provides an excellent multisectoral platform that can benefit the health sector of Member States by allowing them to share their best practices as well as practical and significant progress on Safe Hospitals at the country level.

29. PAHO has included the objectives contained in the Safe Hospitals initiative into the Strategic Plan 2008-2012, as well as into the Program Budget 2007-2008, under Strategic Objective 5.1. It will monitor and report on the implementation of this initiative and provide technical cooperation to assist countries in documenting and improving their national Hospital Safety Index.

**Action by the Pan American Sanitary Conference**

30. The Conference is requested to review the information provided on progress to date, to make suggestions on efforts that can be pursued at the country level and by PAHO to reach the goal of Hospitals Safe from Disaster by 2015, and consider adoption of the resolution proposed by the 140th Session of the Executive Committee (See Annex I).

Annexes
RESOLUTION

CE140.R15

SAFE HOSPITALS: A REGIONAL INITIATIVE
ON DISASTER-RESILIENT HEALTH FACILITIES

THE 140th SESSION OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE,

Having reviewed the report of the Director on the Regional Initiative on Safe Hospitals (Document CE140/13) and aware of the benefit of joining forces to reduce health disaster risk,

RESOLVES:

To recommend to the 27th Pan American Sanitary Conference the adoption of a resolution along the following lines:

THE 27th PAN AMERICAN SANITARY CONFERENCE,

Having considered the report of the Director on the Regional Initiative on Safe Hospitals (CSP27/12) and aware of the benefit of joining forces to reduce health disaster risk;

Considering that the 45th Directing Council of the Pan American Health Organization (2004) approved Resolution CD45.R8 urging Member States to adopt “Hospitals Safe from Disasters” as a national risk reduction policy and that 168 countries adopted the same goal at the World Conference on Disaster Reduction as one of the priority actions to be implemented by 2015;
Aware that, according to data provided by PAHO/WHO Member States, 67% of their health facilities are located in disaster risk areas and that in the last decade nearly 24 million people in the Americas lost health care for months, and sometimes years, due to damage to health facilities directly related to disasters;

Taking into account that functional collapse is the main cause of hospitals being out of service after a disaster and that access to health services is a critical need in saving lives, especially during emergencies, and is a main responsibility of the health sector and also one of the Essential Public Health Functions;

Considering that the UN International Strategy for Disaster Reduction (ISDR) decided to organize, for 2008-2009, the global safe hospitals campaign as an example of a complex entity that requires the collaboration of all sectors, including financial institutions, in order to make hospitals resilient to disasters, and that the World Health Organization is the technical entity responsible for the campaign; and

In order to significantly contribute to reducing disaster risk in the Region and taking into account that the safe hospital campaign will make a major contribution to comprehensive hospital safety, including patient safety and health of workers,

**RESOLVES:**

1. To urge the Member States to:

   (a) Ensure that a specific entity in each ministry of health has the responsibility to develop a disaster risk reduction program;

   (b) Actively support the 2008-2009 ISDR safe hospitals campaign through:

   • Establishment of partnerships with stakeholders within and beyond the health sector, such as national disaster management organizations, planning, national and international financial institutions, universities, scientific and research centers, local authorities, communities, and other key contributors;

   • Sharing and implementing best practices in order to achieve practical and significant progress on the safe hospitals initiative at the country level;

   • Ensuring that all new hospitals are built with a level of protection that better guarantees that they will remain functional in disaster situations, and implement appropriate mitigation measures to reinforce existing health facilities;
(c) Develop national policies on safe hospitals, adopt appropriate national and international norms and standards, and monitor the safety of the health facility network.

2. To request the Director to:

(a) Develop new tools to assess the likelihood that health facilities remain functional during and after a disaster and assist Member States in their implementation;

(b) Support countries in documenting and sharing best practices as well as achieving progress on the safe hospital initiative;

(c) Promote and strengthen coordination and cooperation with regional and subregional agencies related to the issue of disasters.

(Ninth meeting, 29 June 2007)
Report on the Financial and Administrative Implications for the
Secretariat of the Resolutions Proposed for Adoption
by the Pan American Sanitary Conference

1. Resolution: SAFE HOSPITALS: A REGIONAL INITIATIVE ON DISASTER-RESILIENT HEALTH FACILITIES

2. Linkage to program budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of work</th>
<th>Expected result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PED</td>
<td>SO5 RER 5.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Main activity in risk reduction for the next five years in which hospitals will serve as an example for risk reduction. At the same time it is expected that overall safety of hospitals will increase.

Indicator 5.1.3 corresponds to this resolution.

3. Financial implications

a) Total estimated cost for implementation over the lifecycle of the resolution (estimated to the nearest US$ 10,000; including staff and activities): $1.5 million over the next 3 years.

b) Estimated cost for the biennium 2006-2007 (estimated to the nearest US$ 10,000; including staff and activities): $200,000.

For 2008-2009: $900,000.

c) Of the estimated cost noted in (b) what can be subsumed under existing programmed activities? $10,000 regular and $190,000 extrabudgetary.
4. Administrative implications

a) Implementation locales (indicate the levels of the Organization at which the work will be undertaken and identify the specific regions, where relevant): Throughout the region, subregional and regional advisor and disaster focal points.

b) Additional staffing requirements (indicate additional required staff full-time equivalents, noting necessary skills profile): No new staff.

c) Timeframes (indicate broad timeframes for the implementation and evaluation): 2015.